From: Henning, Alan To: Wu, Jennifer

Sent: 9/11/2014 12:03:38 PM

Subject: RE: 9/11 Pesticides Review Team check-in

Sorry Jenny. I didn't intend for you to have to spend time responding to me. I understand your approach, but so much of what we have heard from our pesticides folks is how well FIFRA is being implemented, almost purely from an administrative perspective. Sheila and Elizabeth bring a real world perspective.

Regarding the adequacy of buffers, I am looking at a September 10, 1996 review of the ODF's submission for Oregon's Coastal Salmon Restoration Initiative. There is some discussion about spray buffers proposed but unfortunately the buffers were not for herbicides, nor did the proposed buffers get adopted by the Board of Forestry. It is good information though. I am currently going thru these documents to build the rationale for our Roads decision. If I see more on pesticides, I will let you know.

Are you at home?

From: Wu, Jennifer

Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 8:45 AM

To: Henning, Alan

Subject: RE: 9/11 Pesticides Review Team check-in

Hi Alan,

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

My goal is to keep it simple and focus on the adequacy of buffers

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

But once we get the team aligned, we can certainly bring in Sheila and Elizabeth to the meeting, maybe to the managers' meeting. Give me a call if you want to talk more. I'm looking through CZARA files this morning to see if I can find anything on pesticides. There's a lot on roads, landslides, and riparian buffers, but less on pesticides.

From: Henning, Alan

Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 8:22 AM

To: Wu, Jennifer

Subject: RE: 9/11 Pesticides Review Team check-in

Jenny,

Because of the EPA's active involvement in the Triangle Lake investigation, it would be good to invite Elizabeth and/or Sheila to be part of the discussion. I am sure, in your calls with ODF and ODA, you heard their interpretation of how FIFRA is being implemented. I think Elizabeth and Sheila are also involved in the Curry County investigation as well. Both would bring a grass roots perspective to the discussion.

Me

From: Wu, Jennifer

 Sent: Wednesday, September 10, 2014 5:48 PM

To: Henning, Alan; Helder, Dirk; Liu, Linda; Carvalho, Gabriela; Peterson, Erik; Woodruff, Leigh

Cc: allison.castellan@noaa.gov

Subject: 9/11 Pesticides Review Team check-in

Hi Everyone,

We have our second check-in tomorrow on Oregon CZARA pesticides from 10-11:30PST/11-12:30MST. The call-in number is **Non-Responsive** For Seattle, I'ver reserved the Walla Walla Room on the 14th floor. Let's spend our time using the same questions from our last meeting and updating the group on any new information. Here are some items to update the group on:

- Information from ODF on their state regs and from CA
- History of why Oregon did not put buffers for aerial application of herbicides
- Strategy, outreach, training
- Herbicides with buffers in place
- Basis for decision to add pesticide buffer protection measure
- Other information

Then, let's spend some time revisiting our options. Though I'm not in favor of approving this measure, I think we should add that option and discuss the pros and cons of that with the other two options that we've put forward. I hopefully will have some pros and cons of the third option before our meeting.

Talk to you all tomorrow, Jenny Wu USEPA Region 10 Office of Water and Watersheds (OWW-134) Environmental Engineer, Watershed Unit 1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, WA 98101 (206)553-6328